

<b>Wheatley Neighbourhood Plan (Wheatley NP/WNP) Committee</b>	
<b>Meeting number:</b>	30
<b>Date</b>	17 February 2020
<b>Venue/Time</b>	7.30 p.m. Chapel Hall
<b>Present</b>	Andrew Johnson Chris Hallsworth David Harverson David Mancey Audrey Parsons John Fox Roger Farrow Michael Vaughton Roy Gordon Doug Lamont ( <i>ex-officio</i> )
<b>Apologies</b>	Mark Davies Toby Newman Rachael Newman Ellie Freeman Simon Shew Kevin Heritage Lucy Thomas Rebecca Beadman Allan Hicks Tim Blightman (NB:17 Feb was start of half-term)

<b>Item</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Action</b>	
		<b>Who</b>	<b>Date by</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Apologies – as noted above</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Minutes for 28 Aug, 2019 – agreed.</b> This meeting preceded Submission of Draft WNP to WPC as Qualifying Body, 02 September, to be forwarded to SODC		
<b>3</b>	<b>Status of WNP.</b> The Examiner's <i>Fact Check Report</i> of 07 February 2020 remained confidential at the time of this meeting. His conclusion is that 'Subject to a series of recommended modifications set out in this report ... the Wheatley Neighbourhood Plan meets all the necessary legal requirements and should proceed to referendum.' [Executive Summary, Para 5] The Report deems the Draft WNP fit for purpose, conditional on changes required by the Examiner. These include: <b>a)</b> deletions linked to LP 2034 now in the hands of the SOS Housing with provisions for future review <b>b)</b> SPES2 to be retained to safeguard Littleworth element of the Village Enhancement proposal [VEP] <b>c)</b> the scope of SPOBU is broadened and its detail diluted to cover		

	eventualities		
4	<p><b>Discussion Issues (based on briefing by SODC with RG/JF, 13 Feb 2020)</b></p> <p><b>a)</b> SoS Robert Jenrick still in post, therefore continuity; OCC has agreed to process eLP2034 if invited and costs remain neutral. Timescale for OBU Appeal is unknown.</p> <p><b>b)</b> Examiner accepts WNP01 is fit for purpose, but recommends some changes in semantics, style and conformity to National Policy. In view of the uncertainty around eLP2034 he also recommends deletion of policies related to Green Belt release and Village Enhancement Plan [VEP], albeit with provisions for future review.</p> <p><b>c)</b> Examiner recommends retaining Policy SPES2 to safeguard Littleworth site for possible re-development</p> <p><b>d)</b> Examiner has broadened the scope of SPOBU, diluting detail in policy, but now covering all eventualities for development.</p> <p><b>e)</b> Policy T1 (traffic) has been significantly curtailed. RG/JF have argued against it.</p> <p>Considerable discussion followed ensued re (c) – (e).</p> <p>WNP Committee felt that some clarification was needed in revised versions of SPOBU and SPES2.</p> <p>WNP Committee felt that it was important to demonstrate that traffic is not being ignored. Request that Examiner permits some emphasis on the surrounding text 8.11-8.13 in WNP01 and/or in (a) WNP website Evidence Base of Traffic Discussion with OCC (Jan 2018) and (b) of Air Quality issues with SODC.</p> <p>WNP Committee acknowledges that an NP has very limited brief on traffic.</p> <p><b>f)</b> Green Belt? What would WNP wish to see in a newly processed eLP2034?</p> <p><b>i)</b> that LP2034 remain unchanged, establishing only <u>the need for GB</u> release without the VEP, and leave a future version of WNP01 to make allocation (i.e.VEP)</p> <p><b>ii)</b> that LP 2034 release GB, thereby allowing any planning applications to be made for the released land</p> <p>Considerable discussion ensued.</p>		
5	<p>A majority (8 for, 2 against) voted formally to adopt Examiner recommendations with specific request to review some aspects of SPOBU, T1 and SPES2.</p> <p>Unanimous vote to advise SODC to implement f) i</p>	RG/JF	
6	AOB		
	Next meeting: to be confirmed	JF	