

<b>Wheatley Neighbourhood Plan (Wheatley NP/WNP) Committee</b>	
<b>Meeting number:</b>	19
<b>Date</b>	14 December 2017
<b>Venue/Time</b>	7.30 p.m. Chapel Hall
<b>Present</b>	Audrey Parsons Andrew Johnson David Harverson David Mancey John Fox Michael Vaughton Rachel Newman Rebecca Beadman Roy Gordon
<b>Apologies</b>	Chris Hallsworth Ellie Freeman Kevin Heritage Lucy Thomas Mark Davies Roger Farrow Simon Shew Toby Newman

<b>Item</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Action</b>	
		<b>Who</b>	<b>Date by</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Apologies</b>		
1.1	As noted above.		
<b>2</b>	See RGs slides for additional details and agenda:		
3	Minutes for October - agreed		
<b>4</b>	<b>Communications</b>		
4.1	We discussed the ways in which the WNP communicates what is going on with the WNP.		
4.2	JF confirms he keeps the WPC updated and request that they also not have any discussions with any developers. Thame did and fell foul with lawyers by meeting developers.		
4.3	HPC only have the same 5 points and all is civil between the WNP and HPC.		
4.5	Wheatley newsletter – JF does write a piece for it but is always out of date as things move on so quickly.		
<b>5</b>	<b>WNP progress</b>		
5.1	RG went through the list of tasks and most have been completed. Now on V25 of NP. Those outstanding are: cycle map, green route (Asda), Community Energy Project – clarification needed on what this actually is.		
5.2	RG pointed out differences between V16 and v25. Key point language in V25 is much better.		
5.3	Met on 27 October and versions changed due to “regeneration” strategy. Also confirmed that WNP can allocate sites now – conditional on SODC local Plan (LP)		

5.4	V16 can now be used, but revised using language used in V25.		
5.5	A SEA is now required (due to site allocation). SODC to provide a road map for “regeneration” version.		
5.6	WNP to be made final in Q1 2018 and will be called V16++ and this will include land availability and site policies, however this is not effective until LP in place.		
5.7	Other requirements needed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic consultation paper</li> <li>• SEA</li> <li>• Site assessment topic paper</li> <li>• Business relocation topic paper</li> <li>• Public consultation – another one is needed due to having to have a SEA.</li> </ul>		
<b>6</b>	<b>CIL</b> – in V25 section 10 – can members review and list in order of priority by say 10 January 2018 as WNP need to suggest what the money should be used for as if klsited in WNP it helps SODC with the final decision. Note Holton have a “title” to the money but SODC decide. Ie new scout building, swimming pool etc etc	all	10.1.18
6.1	Put old scout building on site assessment as an allocation		
6.2	Old peoples home (Park Hill) WNP/SODC not sure it this should be listed as already approved.		
<b>7</b>	<b>Funding - CSA</b>		
7.1	WNP hire a consultant (to get money from localities) or WNP has a letter from SODC and takes 6-8 weeks.		
<b>8</b>	<b>OCC Highways</b>		
8.1	Ref infrastructure AJ sent points to OCC; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New pedestrian link to OBU</li> <li>• Impact OBU to traffic flow/one or two access roads</li> <li>• Impact of traffic regarding relocation of businesses / houses on land freed up in Littleworth and green belt land removed.</li> </ul>		
8.2	AJ request a meeting – as at meeting date still to be confined. AJ to follow up on 15 <sup>th</sup> / after Christmas.	AJ	
8.3	A question was raised about paying for this (?) - would have thought this was free.		
8.4	OCC has identified if new houses are built on OBU site then a primary school may be needed.		
8.5	OCC saying 300 should be ok at OBU.		
8.6	With Littleworth and green belt land may mean more housing so even greater needed for a school etc.		
8.7	However with “in fill” already happening may not need as many as first thought.		
8.8	NOTE: SODC can stop people out of the catchment area not getting school places to allow for new houses in the catchment area to get places.		
8.9	Q: Do we know how many at the school already? DM to find out.	DM	
8.10	NOTE: headmistress now resigned		
8.11	JF asked to note in minutes that bridge between OBU and Wheatley is only hypothetical, it’s never been confirmed.		
8.12	Suggested WNP add in “public health” as a reason for a pedestrian bridge and WNP could advised in document and developer could design a “landing” area for it.		
8.13	Weight limits in village but none north of village ie no 7 ½ tonne. Have a weight limit on London Road to force large heavy vehicles on to A40.		
8.14	Green belt – WNP found that people were not bother either way. No one outranged at suggestion.		
8.15	OCC do not believe SODC are giving enough support ref traffic in their view.		
<b>9</b>	<b>TN surgery comments</b>		
9.1	Confidential scoping application was leaked (750 houses on OBU site) but SODC said “no”.		
9.2	WNP can support a number but cannot say how many.		
9.3	GVA instructed to proceed with sale only on some form of planning. GVA intend		

