

Wheatley Neighbourhood Plan (Wheatley NP/WNP) Committee	
Meeting number:	13
Date	Wednesday 22 February 2017
Venue/Time	7.30 p.m. Wheatley park 6 th Form Centre
Present	Audrey Parsons Andrew Johnson Chris Hallsworth David Harverson David Mancey Ellie Freeman John Fox Kevin Heritage Lucy Thomas Mark Davies Michael Vaughton Rachel Newman Rebecca Beadman Simon Shew Toby Newman
Apologies	<hr/> Roy Gordon Roger Farrow

Item	Description	Action	
		Who	Date by
1	Apologies		
1.1	As noted above.		
2	Workshop/Project group		
	JF introduce the draft WNP document. 25 hours in total spent pulling the draft together by the project group.		
3	Comments on the document		
3.1	Include more visuals ie green belt maps etc.		
3.1.1	JF knows a photographer the WNP can commission to take photos if required.	JF	
3.1.2	Use more landscape photos through of the village and surrounding are.		
3.1.3	Show sites that have been rejected and detail why rejected.		
3.1.4	Preferred development site option need to be weighted more clearly. (use SODC list)		
3.1.5	Produce a one page statement that summarises the key points in the document as this is what the community will want to read – a concise overview of key points.		
3.1.6	Housing results survey – very light in the document		
3.1.7	WNP have identified "needs" which could be covered by the Oxford Brookes and The Railway sites – but need to be defined.		

3.1.8	DH commented "what is the WNP document actually saying, what is the WNP document conclusion?"		
3.1.9	Maps to be larger.		
3.1.10	Define that WNP supports the brownfield site of Oxford Brookes for housing (up to 300) and that the green belt is shown separately on a map and to keep the greenbelt.		
3.1.11	Sections did not seem to flow especially between 7 and 8.		
3.1.12	Note: JF and RG meeting with SODC on 6 March who will review the document, give guidance on policies and public consultation procedure etc.		
3.1.13	Community need to understand the document as well as having certain information within it that meets the requirements to make it a "good" plan for the Inspectors to be able to approve.		
3.1.14	A summary of the document that the community can refer to; ie a separate page or pamphlet which is a more simplified version to enable the community to understand it easily. "How to use the document"??		
3.1.15	The document needs to be a robust defence of what we want to achieve in Wheatley and done in a way to make the referendum work.		
3.1.16	There needs to be evidence of what the community has said about the draft document and the WNP thoughts for the Inspectors.		
3.1.17	OBU map – confusing – should be all one site – could ask SODC why separated out?. WHE25a – no reference to this from work done by Mike and Simon. Need the freedom of the site to allow green spaces, trees, recreational areas around the brownfield site area WHE25.		
3.1.18	Map labels and description of sites have a couple of anomalies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12a and 12 • 18b • 13a and 13 There will be some amendments to the map on RG's return.		
3.1.19	A3 map – overlay greenbelt map on to sites map and show the sites WNP preferred sites in a different colour.		
3.1.20	NOTE: SODC asked JF how many houses can WNP offer and JF confirmed up to 300 .		
3.1.21	Note: WNP will not give up sports and green areas or business use areas, but would give up numbers of houses.		
3.1.22	Sewerage and water supply – do we need mention of this in the document? Do need to consider the impact of more houses.		
3.1.23	Discussed public transport (bus service) to and from site to the village – who should provide this? Ask SODC can we make sure there is a service? Can we write a policy to say need this?.		
3.1.24	Developer responsible for making sure roads wide enough for bus, fire engine etc		
3.1.25	Environmental impact survey – do WNP need one? Outlying planning permission being put through but no results yet.		
3.1.26	Need a section on sustainability – can we lift something from another NP document or the London Road site – developers should have one?		
3.1.27	Note: OCC and road infrastructure – very interested in WNP document.		
3.1.28	Justify text in document to look neater.		
3.1.29	WNP members agreed for JF and RG to continue to finalise draft document and put changes through.		
3.1.30	WNP members agreed that say 2 people should review draft (as JF and RG to close to the document) and it be a non WNP member and someone linked to WNP. – to be confirmed.		
3.1.31	AJ thanked JF and RG for pulling the document together and in return JF thanked AJ.		

