| Committee       |   |
|-----------------|---|
| Meeting number: | 12  |
| Date            | Tuesday 13 <sup>th</sup> December 2016  |
| /enue/Time      | 7.30 p.m. URC   |
| Present         | Audrey Parsons Andrew Johnson David Harverson David Mancey Ellie Freeman John Fox Michael Vaughton Roy Gordon Rachel Newman Rebecca Beadman Roger Farrow Simon Shew |
| Apologies       | Chris Hallsworth<br>Kevin Heritage<br>Lucy Thomas<br>Mark Davies<br>Toby Newman   |

|  | Action  |  |
|--|---|--|
| Who  | Date<br>b   |  |
|  | у   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| October 2016   |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| ney need to be very specific when saying what kind of                    |   |  |
| nd of January 2017.  |   |  |
| housing sites that were originally minuted.                              |   |  |
| ot "suing"   |   |  |
| NP is compliance registered and Audrey is the contact. ules to everyone. |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  | vember and JF did reply to his letter and proceeded to read reply to the Committee. |  |

| 3.1   | Three workshops held so far and good progress made on the vision and objectives – should be in final soon. Next item to look at is policies and the last item to be included |  |
|-------|--|--|
|       | in the WNP document.   |  |
| 3.1.1 | RG and AJ went through slides that came from the workshops   |  |

| 3.1.2 | Q: what do we want Wheatley to look like in $15 - 20$ years? The answer comes from the                              |          |   |
|-------|---|----------|---|
|       | community not the WNP, and the WNP only pull the information together to from the                                   |          |   |
|       | "vision" and the "objectives" is what has to be done to achieve this.   |          |   |
| 3.1.3 | Keys points to remember: this all relates to land use. Must record all evidence to have                             |          |   |
|       | an audit trail to refer to  |          |   |
| 3.1.4 | 4 Traffic was highlighted as one of the biggest issues for the community.   |          |   |
| 3.1.5 | Vision and objectives document: very clear, well written and well defined and captures                              |          |   |
|       | results of the surveys – its needs to show that it's a "statement" of what the community                            |          |   |
|       | said. It does not look to be referenced at the moment but can reference the audit trail,                            |          |   |
|       | which may be enough to reference back. Confirmed the document will have a glossary                                  |          |   |
|       | and reference list. The document is evolving so will constantly need re-referencing.                                |          |   |
|       | Some feedback received from Holton but not much.  |          |   |
|       | Community/public will have the opportunity for feedback on the document before it is                                |          |   |
|       | finalised.  |          |   |
|       | All WNP members accepted 1st draft of the vision and objectives document.   |          |   |
|       | JF thanked AJ and RG for driving this.  |          |   |
| 3.1.6 | Q: how big is Wheatley going to be if all the things suggested get put in? Suggestions                              |          |   |
| 5.1.0 | will need to be prioritised – what becomes more important?  |          |   |
|       |   |          |   |
| 4     | What next? What is the process going forward?   |          |   |
| 4.1   | • Vision and objectives (V&O) – this drives the policies  |          |   |
|       | Policies – driven by V&O  |          |   |
|       | • Land sites – to be set against the criteria and strategy  |          |   |
|       | Strategy and criteria – need to be finalised by end of January 2017   |          |   |
|       |   |          |   |
|       | • Policies – to be written by SODC <b>BUT</b> WNP to provide what we want them to                                   |          |   |
|       | contain and check what they write before finalising so we can approve they have written what we want it to contain. |          |   |
|       |   |          |   |
|       | Issues and constraints – to be addressed at the time  |          |   |
| 4.1.1 | Land sites – the 12 sites to be reviewed and need to be "sized" ie how many houses per                              |          |   |
|       | site.   |          |   |
|       | Define the strategy for the land use ie define what goes where, ie do we state land in                              |          |   |
|       | centre of the village is for houses for the elderly? etc. Also each site may have several                           |          |   |
|       | potential uses.   |          |   |
|       | Strong feeling to keep greenbelt, therefore Brownfield sites to be looked at first for                              |          |   |
|       | development. However, WNP need to be flexible and may need to review what   |          |   |
|       | greenbelt is released to work out the what we need the land use to be.  |          |   |
| 4.1.2 | Note: "white paper" that is coming out very soon could affect the WN Plan.  |          |   |
| 4.1.3 | Once the WN Plan has gone to referendum then it is the community that decide  |          |   |
|       | whether or not the WN Plan is put forward.  |          |   |
| 3.1.4 | Three more workshops required to finalise the next stages.  |          |   |
|       |   |          |   |
| 5     | John Cotton meeting   |          |   |
| 5.1   | JF circulated updated notes and went through them. Ref CIL money, Holton have a big                                 |          | · |
|       | say in this.  | <u> </u> |   |
|       |   |          |   |
| 6     | AOB   |          |   |
| 6.1   | Parking survey – DH is moving forward on this and identifying possible sites. When                                  |          |   |
|       | does this need to be done to fit in with WNP and inclusion in document? DH  |          |   |
|       | proposing done by 3 <sup>rd</sup> week of January 2017 as Brookes back and will give a truer                        |          |   |
|       | picture. This will feed into land and use of sites so this timing should work. The next                             |          |   |
|       | workshops will be 3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup> weeks of January. EF will help DH with parking survey.        |          |   |
| 6.2   | High Street Improvement group – DM updated WNP that the HStI group want   | +        |   |
|       | improvements to the shops and high street and better parking and would like to know                                 |          |   |
|       | how does this fit with WNP – if they come up with a plan/ideas not already considered                               |          |   |
|       | WNP then WNP would need to take this into account. MV also involved ref land use.                                   |          |   |
|       | Check if HStI group are aware of WNP timings for finalising the WN Plan. Impact                                     |          |   |
|       | Tencer if from are aware of wive minings for miansing the wive ran. Impact  |          |   |

| assessment may be needed and if so then sooner rather than later. |  |
|---|--|

| Next meeting: [ | ] at 7.30pm at [ ] |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|